Docket No. CISCO-3168



DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY

RECEIVED

MAY 2 3 2001

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

Technology Center 216

My correct residence, post office address and citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

"COMMAND AUTHORIZATION VIA RADIUS"

The	specification of this su	ubject matter:							
	is attached hereto.								
xx	was filed onDe	ecember 7, 2000;							
	was assigned ser	ial No. <u>09/733,617</u> ;	-						
	which was amend	ded on							
do not believe my invention the sale in the Leas not bee application is representated design pate. I according to the sale in the Leas not bee application is representated. I according to the sale in the sale	we that the claimed inventhereof, or patented ereof or more than on United States of Amerin patented or made the nany country foreign inves or assigns more that application) prior to eknowledge the duty to be reby claim foreign priore to the country claim foreign priore to the country to the country foreign priore that the country foreign priore to the country foreign priore to the country foreign priore that the country foreign priore to the country f	o disclose information which is mai	ation in any count the same was application, te issued before an application tent application terial to the expense of the count and the same of the s	ountry bas not in and that ore the on filed on) or sexaminately foreign	pefore min public to at the involute of to by me o ix month tion of the gn applicant applicant applicant is monther than applicant appli	use or on ention his r my legal s (for a is			
		ving a filling date before that of the	approduction o		~/	ty-Claime			
Prior Foreic	an Application(s)	•		•	J M/	R - L			
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	IL RO	TIVEL			
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	· Yes	No	M	:			
Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No					

F-050

Docket No. CISCO-3168

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	Filing Date	
Application Number	Filing Date	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Gerhard W. Thielman, Registration No. 43,186; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299, and William Samuel Niece Registration No. P 47,824, as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

Marc S. Hanish Thelen Reid & Priest LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone (408) 292-5800

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Docket No. CISCO-3168

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 1	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)		LAST Name	
Gregory			Weber		
RESIDENCE ANI	D City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citi	zenship
USA		Tennessee	USA		
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or	Country	Zip Code
5532 W	oodburn Drive	Knoxville		Tennessee,	37932
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 2 Laurence	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)	Grant	LAST Name	
RESIDENCE AN CITIZENSHIP	D City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citi	zenship
British Citizen		California	Britain		
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or	Country	Zip Code
1688 Br	addock Ct.	San Jose		California, 95	5125
made upon infor	mation and belief are bel	nts made herein of my own knowledge lieved to be true; and further that these nd the like so made are punishable by	stateme	nts were made	with the
	Title 18 of the United State or any patent issuing the	ites Code, and that such willful false st pereon.	atements	may jeopardize	e the validity
1/2	1/1/2	2/16/01			
Gregory Weber		Date Laurence Grant		Date	

Docket No. CISCO-3168

37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to who
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.